1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Richard D. McCune, Esq., State Bar No. 132124 rdm@wmtrial-law.com Jae K. Kim, Esq., State Bar No. 236805 jkk@wmtrial-law.com WELEBIR & McCUNE 2068 Orange Tree Lane, Suite 215 Redlands, CA 92374 Telephone: (909) 335-0444 Facsimile: (909) 335-0452 Attorneys for Plaintiff, JOSHUA P. ENDRES, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated Sonya D. Winner, SB # 200348 David M. Jolley, SB # 191164 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091 swinner@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo & Company		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16 17 18	JOSHUA P. ENDRES, as an individual, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	Case No.: C-06-7019 PJH ORDER DENYING REQUEST STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
19 20 21 22 23	v. WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., and DOES 1 through 125, Defendants.	Date: April 4, 2007 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 3, 17th Floor Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton	
24 25	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this action as follows: I. RECITALS		
26	1. Currently, the Initial Case Management Conference in the above-captioned litigation is		
27	set for March 22, 2007, at 2:30 p.m. The last day for the parties to exchange initial disclosures and file		
28	the Joint Case Management Statement and Rule 26(f) Report is March 15, 2007. (The Initial Case		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		

///

///

///

Management Conference had originally been set for February 15, 2007. It was continued to March 22, 2007, after Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint.)

- 2. On February 28, 2007, Defendants filed their Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiff Joshua P. Endres's First Amended Complaint (hereinafter "Motion for Summary Judgment"). The Motion for Summary Judgment is more akin to a motion for judgment on the pleadings than to a typical summary judgment motion in that it challenges the sufficiency of the allegations instead of the lack of underlying substantive facts in dispute. The parties believe that the resolution of the Motion for Summary Judgment at the outset of this litigation is in the best interests of judicial economy, as it will set the foundation for how this case will proceed and it will substantially affect both the scheduling order and the type and amount of discovery that is needed in this case.
- 3. The parties are currently negotiating a preliminary discovery plan that will allow Plaintiff the opportunity to obtain the evidence he believes is needed to oppose the Motion for Summary Judgment. The parties believe that such negotiations can be successfully resolved and a preliminary discovery plan completed within the next two weeks.
- 4. Because of this, the parties believe that it is in the interest of judicial economy to continue the Initial Case Management Conference (and deadline for exchanging initial disclosures) for two weeks to allow the parties time to complete their negotiations on any preliminary discovery that Plaintiff may need to prepare its opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment. After such an agreement is reached, the parties will be in a better position to provide this Court with a Joint Case Management Statement and Rule 26(f) Report outlining a proposed discovery plan and case management schedule.
- 5. The parties now jointly request that the Initial Case Management Conference (and all associated deadlines) be continued two weeks and rescheduled for April 5, 2007, at 2:30 p.m. This would permit the parties to complete their negotiations on a stipulated plan for the preliminary discovery that Plaintiff believes he needs to respond fully to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

STIPULATION 1 II. Based on the foregoing RECITALS, and the present circumstances as they exist, the parties, 2 through their respective legal counsel of record, hereby make the following stipulation in requesting an 3 order by the Court: 4 That the Initial Case Management Conference be continued to April 5, 2007, at 2:30 p.m. 5 That the last day to complete initial disclosures, file a Case Management Statement, and file 6 a Rule 26(f) Report be continued to March 29, 2007. 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED 8 9 WELEBIR & McCUNE DATED: March 15, 2007 10 11 12 13 Richard D. McCune Attorneys for Plaintiffs 14 15 16 **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP** DATED: March 15, 2007 17 18 19 By: 20 David M. Jolley Attorneys for Defendants 21 22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERA 23 24 DENIED 25 3/16/07 26 DATED: Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TH AND THE DEADLINE TO COMPLETE INITIAL DISCLOSURES.

FILE A RULE 26(F) REPORT